

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| PPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 10/728,826 | 12/08/2003 | Norbert Kock | P24554 | 9279 |
| 7055 75 | 90 07/16/2004 | | EXAMINER | |
| GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C. 1950 ROLAND CLARKE PLACE | | | KASTLER, SCOTT R | |
| RESTON, VA 20191 | | • | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1742 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 07/16/2004 | 4 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|--|--|---|-------------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/728,826 | KOCK ET AL. | | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | • | Scott Kastler | 1742 | | | | |
| | The MAILING DATE of this communica | | ith the correspondence address | | | | |
| Period fo | • • | | | | | | |
| THE - External form of the control o | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA asions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) de period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a sation. ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thiny period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI by statute, cause the application to become A | reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communic BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | cation. | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1) | Responsive to communication(s) filed of | on | | | | | |
| 2a)□ | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3) | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposit | on of Claims | | | | | | |
| 5)□ 6)⊠ | Claim(s) 7-26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 7-26 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8)□ | Claim(s) are subject to restriction | n and/or election requirement. | | | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ | The specification is objected to by the E The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 December 20</u> Applicant may not request that any objectio Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by | 003 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ n to the drawing(s) be held in abeya e correction is required if the drawing | nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.1 | | | | |
| Priority (| ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| Attachmen | | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTo r No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/6/04</u> . | -948) Paper No | Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |

Art Unit: 1742

Claim Objections

Claims 9 and 10 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. The above claims do not fairly further limit claims 7 and 8, from which they depend because the abilities required by the instant claims (hardening at least the head of a rail in claim 9 and supporting a rail in two cross sectional regions thereof in claim 10) are inherently possessed by the apparatus as claimed in instant claims 7 and 8. With respect to the hardening, since the device can harden the entire rail (for "hardening the rails" in claim 7, this would include "at least" hardening the rail heads). With respect to the support, since the roller table comprises "rolls" (meaning at least two rolls) the roller table would have at least two contact points with the rails, thereby supporting at least two cross sectional regions thereof.

Double Patenting

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Application/Control Number: 10/728,826

Art Unit: 1742

Claims 7, 8 and 11-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1, 16, 3-15 and 22-24 respectively, of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,689,228 B2. This is a double patenting rejection.

Claims 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1 and 16 respectively of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,689,228 B2. This is a double patenting rejection. Claims 1 and 16 of the '228 patent claim identical subject matter as instant claims 9 and 10 respectively, because the abilities required by the instant claims (hardening at least the head of a rail in claim 9 and supporting a rail in two cross sectional regions thereof in claim 10) are inherently possessed by the apparatus as claimed in instant claims 7 and 8, which are identical to claims 1 and 16 of the '228 patent.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott Kastler whose telephone number is (571) 272-1243. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on (571) 272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/728,826

Art Unit: 1742

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Scott Kastler Primary Examiner Art Unit 1742

sk